

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,051	08/07/2003	Peter J. Schiller	11812/US/2	1024
7590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER		
Min S. (Amy) Xu			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
DORSEY & W	HITNEY LLP			
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 South Sixth Street			2834	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-7367			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$H \cdot y$
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/636,051	SCHILLER, PETER J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Mark Budd	2834	
The MAILING DATE f this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the c rresp ndence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> </ul>	nts have been received.		
		<del></del>	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure</li> </ol>		n received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		t received	
		. 10001100.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-19-04</u>.</li> </ol>	8) 5)  Notice of 6)  Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no written description or illustration of any structure wherein a proof mass is suspended from a support frame via any of a tuning fork, cup or comb structure. Further, it is unclear how such structures could be integrated as suspension elements for a proof mass.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14-16, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Okada (318).

Okada, especially figs. 22-27, 38-41 and 45 all teach multiple (at least three) thin film piezo elements provided on a substrate to both drive and detect acceleration of the device upon which they are mounted. The body can be made by micro machining or solid state technologies from a single piece of silicon (see col. 46, lines 25-28 and column 29 lines 57-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/636,051

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada (318).

Okada teaches the structure of the accelerometer as noted above but does not explicitly teach any specific thickness for the piezoceramic layers. However, optimization of a known device for a particular application (e.g. thru routine experimentation) has long been held to be within the skill expected of the routineer. Thus arriving at specific thickness dimensions for Okada's piezo layer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 5, 17, 19-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada (318) in view of Tehon.

Okada teaches the accelerometer structure including general input/output. circuitry but does not explicitly use any feedback loop. Tehon, however, (fig. 6) teaches using a feed back network including a phase shift to provide a stable oscillation and for automatically and properly adjusting the frequency (col. 8, line 67-col. 9 line 29). Thus, for at least these reasons it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skillet he art to provide Okada with the feedback drive taught by Tehon.

Further cited of interest are Schiller, Gutteridge, Okada (040), Howe, Hulsing and Fuji.

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark O. Budd whose telephone number is (571)272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R. Ramirez, can be reached on \*\*\*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Budd/ds

01/28/05